

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,989	05/25/2005	Jenny P Y Ting	5470-368	4540
20792 7590 04/23/2007 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428			EXAMINER	
			PRIEBE, SCOTT DAVID	
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			 	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/511,989	TING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott D. Priebe, Ph.D.	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	arch 2007.					
•						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,5-9,12-15,17-19 and 21-54</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,10,11,16 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 119/a	\(d\ or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phonty under 35 0.5.6. § 119(a)	F(a) 61 (1).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	·				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	•	su III (IIIs National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20051222,20060508,20061106. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
Faper Notalin Date 2000 1222, 2000 000, 2000 1100.						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1, 3, 4, 16, and 20 are withdrawn in part (part not directed to CATERPILLER 11.3) and claims 2, 5-9, 12-15, 17-19, 21-54 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/26/07.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 12/22/05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein as documents numbered 4-37 (AF389420 through NM_170122), 46 (Beg et al.), 48-51 (second Bertin et al. through Brown et al.), 54-55 (Cressman et al. and Dangl et al.), 57-66 (Dode et al. through Harton et al.) 68-80 (Hemmi et al. through Kretsovali et al.), 83-94 (MacKeigan et al. through Poltorak et al.), 96 (Riley et al.), 98-106 (Schuster et al. through Stehlik et al.), and 108-122 (Suzuki et al. through Zhu et al.) have not been considered. As indicated in the restriction requirement of 1/24/07, the PTO had received no copies of these documents.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Fig. 25G shows two separate amino acid sequences, yet indicates they are both disclosed in SEQ ID NO: 20. See objection to specification regarding SEQ ID NO: 20 below. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it does not fully comply with 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. Figure 25G shows two different amino acid sequences. The first 921 amino acids are separated from the last 11 amino acids by an "&" symbol (see the last line of sequence in Fig. 25G). In SEQ ID NO: 19, from which SEQ ID NO: 20 was predicted, the codons for amino acids 921

(Thr) and 922 (Leu) of SEQ ID NO: 20 are nucleotides 2761-2763 and 2767-2769, respectively. Nucleotides 2764-2766, which separate these two codons and correspond to the "&" symbol in Fig. 25G, is the stop codon "tga". Consequently, SEQ ID NO: 20 incorrectly presents the sequence for a 932 amino acid protein, when it should present the sequence for a 921 amino acid protein, ending with Thr921. Consequently, SEQ ID NO: 20 must be amended to present the correct sequence shown in Fig. 25G, i.e. ending with Thr921. The last 11 amino acids of Fig. 25G should either be deleted from the figure (recommended), or the 11 amino acid sequence should be added to the Sequence Listing and assigned a separate SEQ ID NO, which should also be shown in Fig. 25G. In either case, Fig. 25G needs to be corrected and a substitute Sequence Listing is required that complies with 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.

Appropriate correction is required. Applicant must provide a substitute paper copy of the Sequence Listing and an amendment directing its entry into the specification; a substitute computer readable form (CRF) of the Sequence Listing; and a statement that the content of the paper and CRF copies of the Sequence Listing are the same and introduce no new matter, as required by 37 CFR 1.821(e), 1.821(f), 1.821(g), 1.825(b) and/or 1.825(d).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities. Page 75 of the specification includes a hand-written arithmetical calculation in the bottom margin. A replacement page lacking this hand-written text would be remedial.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claim is directed to a cell comprising the nucleic acid of claim 1. Pages 46-47, for example, describe using the nucleic acid in gene therapy in humans. As a result, when read in light of the specification, claim 20 reads on a cell in a human. Since such a cell is an integral part of the human, the claim embraces humans, which are non-statutory subject matter. See 1077 O.G. 24, April 21, 1987. Limiting the claim to an --isolated-- cell would be remedial.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated nucleic acid encoding the

polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 18 or amino acids 1-921 of SEQ ID NO: 20, does not reasonably provide enablement for any other CATERPILLER 11.3 polypeptide or any functional fragment of a CATERPILLER 11.3 polypeptide. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

While the written description and enablement requirements are separate and generally separable requirements, the instant application fails to meet either requirement for essentially the same reasons.

The claims are broadly directed to a nucleic acid encoding any CATERPILLER 11.3 polypeptide, hereafter CLR11.3, from any organism or a "functional fragment" of such a CLR11.3. The nucleic acid may encode the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 18 or 20 or a polypeptide with at least 80% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO: 18 or 20; or the nucleic acid may comprise SEQ ID NOs: 17 or 19 or a nucleotide sequence that hybridizes to the complement of SEQ ID NO: 17 or 19 under "stringent conditions".

The specification discloses two splicing variants of a human CLR11.3, whose amino acid sequences are set forth in SEQ ID NO: 18 and 20. As indicated above, SEQ ID NO: 20 as currently provided is incorrect; it is assumed that Applicant will correct SEQ ID NO: 20 as indicated above. The specification does not describe any other examples of a CLR11.3 from any other organism or any synthetic variants of human CLR11.3. CLR11.3 is disclosed to comprise at least three distinct domains or regions, an unidentified N-terminal domain, a nucleotide binding domain, and a leucine-rich repeat region. As defined in the specification (page 19, lines 23-25), a "functional fragment" is any fragment that retains at least one biological activity

normally associated with CLR11.3. The specification does not, however, describe or list a complete range of biological activities possessed by CLR11.3, although it can be inferred that there are at least several based upon the modular structure of CLR11.3 and its structural relationship to other proteins having a structurally related nucleotide binding domain and/or leucine-rich repeat region. One such example, Monarch-1, interacts with "a host of proteins" (page 23, lines 3-5), and other members of the so-called CATERPILLER family interact with themselves, with nucleotides, and with various proteins, lipids, and/or carbohydrates, the identity of which depends upon the specific member. Although CLR11.3 has a purine nucleotide triphosphate binding domain, it is not clear from Table 1 (pages 83-85) that it binds to either ATP or GTP, as others of this family are predicted to do. Thus, it is clear that at least some of the biological activities of CLR11.3 is expected to possess are the ability to bind various other molecules, and presumable, some fragments of CLR11.3 may be presumed to have at least some of these activities on their own.

The specification does not describe any "function" of CLR11.3 at the molecular level. The only functional information for CLR11.3 provided in the specification (page 114, lines 1-13) is that overexpression of CLR11.3 in a cell carrying an NF-kB-dependent reporter gene reduces induction of the reporter gene in response to overexpression of MyD88 or NIK, i.e. it appears to have a negative regulatory activity in certain inflammatory signaling pathways. However, the specification does not disclose where specifically in the pathway CLR11.3 acts, or what it does or interacts with at the molecular level to reduce induction of expression from an NF-kB-dependent promoter. It is not clear whether inhibition of MyD88- or NIK-mediated induction of an NF-kB-dependent promoter are the only biological activities of CLR11.3. Also, the

specification does not disclose what part or parts of CLR11.3 are required for the disclosed activities.

Except for the general effects on induction of NF-kB-mediated expression possessed by the entire human CLR11.3, the specification does not describe the other presumed biological activities or assays to detect the activities, much less "functional fragments" that possess at least one of these unknown activities. Consequently, there is no evidence that Applicant was in possession of any functional fragments of the disclosed human CLR11.3 polypeptide, and identifying such fragments would require undue experimentation to first identify just what those activities are and then determine what fragments possessed the activities.

While a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 18 or amino acids 1-921 of SEQ ID NO: 20 may reasonably be assumed to have an activities characteristic of itself, whatever they may be, any specific variant of these polypeptides cannot be assumed to have such activities given the dearth of descriptive and enabling support in the specification as to what those activities are or how to determine them. For example, if one of skill in the art were provided a nucleic acid molecule encoding a polypeptide differing from SEQ ID NO: 18 by a single amino acid, the specification does not provide any descriptive support that would allow one to envision whether the polypeptide would have the requisite activity, nor does it describe a method enabling one to determine by experimentation whether the encoded polypeptide had all the normal biological activities normally associated with CLR11.3, i.e. one would be unable to determine whether the nucleic acid molecule was embraced by the claims, regardless of whether it was a naturally occurring variant or a man-made variant. One would be unable to determine whether the change

would result in a loss of polypeptide function, an alteration of polypeptide function, or would be a neutral or silent change.

The court and the Board have repeatedly held (Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016 (CA FC, 1991); Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 (CA FC 1993); Fiddes v. Baird, 30 USPQ2d 1481 (BPAI 1993) and Regents of the Univ. Calif. v. Eli Lilly & Co., 43 USPO2d 1398 (CA FC, 1997)) that an adequate written description of a nucleic acid requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it, irrespective of the complexity or simplicity of the method; what is required is a description of the nucleic acid itself. It is not sufficient to define DNA or protein solely by its principal biological property, because disclosure of no more than that, as in the instant case, is simply a wish to know the identity of any DNA or protein with that biological property. Naming a type of material generically known to exist, in the absence of knowledge as to what that material consists of, is not a description of that material. When one is unable to envision the detailed constitution of a complex chemical compound having a particular function, such as a nucleic acid, so as to distinguish it from other materials, as well as a method for obtaining it, conception has not been achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, i.e., until after the nucleic acid has been isolated. Thus, claiming all DNA's that achieve a result without defining what means will do so is not in compliance with the description requirement. Rather, it is an attempt to preempt the future before it has arrived. Claims 1, 3, and 20 place no constraints on the structure of the CLR11.3 polypeptide or the nucleic acid required to encode it, i.e. these claims embrace a nucleic acid isolated from any organism that encodes the CLR11.3 of that organism, as well as any synthetic variants that have the same biological activities as CLR11.3.

These claims present essentially the same issues as those in *Amgen*, *Fiers*, *Fiddes*, and *Lilly*.

Applicant is in possession of two splice variants of the human CLR11.3, but is claiming a genus of nucleic acid that encodes any protein with the function of CLR11.3 or fragment of any protein with at least one biological activity of any CLR11.3 polypeptide.

In terms of the structural requirements of the nucleic acid molecules in claims 4 and 6, the only difference between the cases reviewed by the court and Board and the subject matter of claims 4 and 16, is that in addition to recitation of a desired protein activity, claims 4 and 16 recite an arbitrary structural relationship between the claimed nucleic acid sequence and the single disclosed species of nucleotide and amino acid sequences based upon hybridization of nucleic acid or % identity to an amino acid sequence. Hybridization of two nucleic acids under high stringency conditions requires only that the two nucleic acids share between 25 and 50 nucleotides in common. See Kennell, Progr. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol. 11: 259-301, 1971 at the paragraph bridging pages 260-261. Such a sequence encodes only 8-16 amino acids. Consequently, claim 4 embraces nucleic acid molecules encoding polypeptides that could share as few as 8-16 contiguous amino acids in common out of the 950 or 921 amino acids of SEQ ID NO: 18 or 20, respectively. Conversely, a nucleotide sequence that differs in every wobble base from SEQ ID NO: 17, for example, would encode SEQ ID NO: 18, but would not detectably hybridize to SEQ ID NO: 17 under any conditions. Thus, the recited structural relationship is arbitrary since neither the specification nor the prior art discloses any definitive relationship between protein function and % identity or homology at the nucleotide level; and the specification does not describe a single species of nucleic acid that encodes a functional protein

Art Unit: 1633

that is not either 100% identical to SEQ ID NO: 17 or 19 or that encodes a polypeptide that is not 100% identical to SEQ ID NO: 18 or amino acids 1-921 of SEQ ID NO: 20.

While one of skill in the art can readily envision numerable species of nucleic acid sequences that hybridize to a reference nucleotide sequence under a given set of conditions and that encode a polypeptide at least a given % identity to a recited reference amino acid sequence, one cannot envision which of these also encode a polypeptide with a specified activity. The fact remains that the actual nucleic acid sequences which encode a protein with a particular activity or the actual amino acid sequences of such a protein *cannot* be envisioned any better when the possible choices are narrowed from all possible sequences, to all possible sequences with an arbitrary structural relationship with a known functional sequence. If one skilled in the art were to make a synthetic nucleotide sequence that encoded a polypeptide with 80% identity to the reference amino acid sequence or hybridized to the reference sequence under "stringent conditions", he would be no more able to say whether it encoded a polypeptide with CLR11.3 function than if the nucleotide sequence encoded a polypeptide that was only 10% identical to the reference polypeptide sequence. Nor would he be able to say whether the sequence existed in nature.

The specification does not provide any information on what amino acid residues are necessary and sufficient for the disclosed activities, much less the undisclosed activities. The specification also provides no teachings on what amino acid sequence modifications, e.g. insertions, deletions and substitutions, would be permissible in a variant polypeptide that would improve or at least would not interfere with the biological activity or structural features necessary for the biological activity and stability of the protein. Since there were no other

Art Unit: 1633

examples of a functional CLR11.3 protein known that have structural homology with SEQ ID NO: 18 or 20, it is not possible to even guess at the amino acid residues which are critical to its structure or function based on sequence conservation. The comparison of SEQ ID NO: 18 or 20 to the other disclosed CATERPILLER family proteins is no help since it has not been disclosed whether these proteins share an activity, e.g. binding to a specific compound.

Furthermore, it is known in the art that even conservative amino acid substitutions can adversely affect proper folding and biological activity if amino acids that are critical for such functions are substituted, and the relationship between the sequence of a polypeptide and its tertiary structure is neither well understood nor predictable (see Ngo, in The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, Merz et al. (eds.), Birkhauser Boston: Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495, 1994). Rudinger (in Peptide Hormones, Parsons (ed.), University Park Press: Baltimore, MD, pp. 1-7, 1976) discloses that even for peptide hormones, which are much smaller than the instant human CLR11.3 protein, one cannot predict variant amino acid sequences for a biologically active polypeptide. Rather one must engage in "case to case painstaking experimental study" to determine active variants (see page 7). Consequently, excessive trial and error experimentation would have been required to identify the necessary nucleic acid sequence derivatives encoding a protein with an activity of SEQ ID NO: 18 or 20 with an amino acid sequence differing from SEO ID NO: 18 or 20 since the amino acid sequence of such polypeptides could not be predicted - even were all the "biological activities normally associated" with CLR11.3 known.

As set forth in *In re Fisher*, 166 USPO 18 (CCPA 1970), compliance with 35 USC 112, first paragraph requires:

Art Unit: 1633

that scope of claims must bear a reasonable correlation to scope of enablement provided by specification to persons of ordinary skill in the art; in cases involving predictable factors, such as mechanical or electrical elements, a single embodiment provides broad enablement in the sense that, once imagined, other embodiments can be made without difficulty and their performance characteristics predicted by resort to known scientific laws; in cases involving unpredictable factors, such as most chemical reactions and physiological activity, scope of enablement varies inversely with degree of unpredictability of factors involved.

In Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016 (Fed. Cir. 1991), the court ruled that a claim to a large genus of possible genetic sequences encoding a protein with a particular function that needs to be determined subsequent to the construction of the genetic sequences may not find sufficient support under 35 USC 112, 1st para., if only a few of the sequences that meet the functional limitations of the claim are disclosed and if undue experimentation would be required of one skilled in the art for determining other genetic sequences embraced by the claim. This is the case here, where specification discloses only two putative functional amino acid sequences, SEQ ID NO: 18 or 20, for a polypeptide having the necessary activity, and provides no guidance on determining which polypeptide variants of SEQ ID NO: 18 or 20 which would have an activity of SEQ ID NO: 18 or 20.

To put the situation in perspective, the number of possible amino acid sequences of 950 amino acids in length is 20⁹⁵⁰ (approx. 10¹²³⁵). The number of possible nucleotide or amino acid sequences that are of a given %identity relative to a reference sequence, where all differences between the possible sequences and the reference sequence are substitutions, can be calculated by the following expansion formula:

$$N = XL + X^{2}L(L-1)/2! + X^{3}L(L-1)(L-2)/3! + ... + X^{n-1}L(L-1)(L-2)...(L-(n-2))/(n-1)! + X^{n}L(L-1)(L-2)...(L-(n-1))/n!$$

Art Unit: 1633

where N is the number of possible sequences, X is the number of different residues that can be substituted for a residue in the reference sequence, L is the length of the reference sequence, n is the maximum number of residues that can be substituted relative to the reference sequence at a given % identity. For a nucleotide sequence, X is 3 (alternate nucleotides); for an amino acid sequence, X is 19 (alternate amino acids). The nth term of the expansion can be rewritten as:

$$x^{n} \cdot {\overset{L!}{}}/_{\left(L-n-1\right)!n!}$$

For a 950 amino acid sequence that is at least 80% identical to a reference sequence of 950 amino acids, e.g. SEQ ID NO: 18, the number of possible sequences having 189 amino acid substitutions relative to the reference (the penultimate term of the formula) is approximately 8.5 x 10⁴⁴⁸, whereas the number of possible sequences having 190 amino acid substitutions relative to the reference (the final term of the formula) is approximately 6.5 x 10⁴⁵⁰. So the last term is approximately equal to N, i.e. the preceding terms contribute little to the total. Also, as the number of permitted substitutions increases the number of possible variant sequences increases geometrically. In a genus of polypeptides that are at least 80% identical to a reference, nearly all will be exactly 80% identical.

While limiting the scope of potential sequences to those that are at least 80% identical to a reference, for example, greatly reduces the number of potential sequences to test $(10^{1235} \text{ vs. } 6.5 \text{ x } 10^{450})$ it does not do so in any meaningful way. The number of atoms in the universe is estimated to be between 10^{70} and 10^{90} . Even were it possible to convert all the mass of the universe into a collection of nucleic acid molecules each encoding one variant CLR11.3 readable

Art Unit: 1633

on the claims, one would be able to make and test only an infinitesimal fraction of the variants embraced by the claims.

Therefore, inclusion of the recited structural relationships in claims 4 and 6 also do not distinguish the instant fact situation from those reviewed in *Amgen, Fiers*, and *Regents of the Univ. Calif.* Thus, the instant specification is inadequate to describe and enable how to make the nucleic acid molecules as broadly as they are claimed here.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims embrace nucleic acids that encode a "functional fragment" of CLR11.3. The specification defines "functional fragment" as any fragment that retains at least one biological activity normally associated with CLR11.3. However, the metes and bounds of "functional fragment" of CLR11.3 are unclear since the specification simply does not disclose what biological activities are normally associated with CLR11.3. Without knowing what these activities are, much less what fragments possess such activities, one would not know whether any given fragment of SEQ ID NO: 18, for example, was embraced by the claims or excluded therefrom. Narrowing the claims to exclude "functional fragment" would be remedial.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Conklin, D.C., WO 01/04307.

Conklin describes an isolated nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO: 1) that encodes a polypeptide (SEQ ID NO: 2) comprising an amino acid sequence (a.a. 1-950) that differs by a single amino acid from instant SEQ ID NO: 18 (i.e. 99.9% identical). Instead of Thr at 575 in instant SEQ ID NO: 18, the protein of Conklin has an Ala residue. Nucleotides 168-3184 of SEQ ID NO: 1 of Conklin differs by four nucleotides from instant SEQ ID NO: 17 at positions 1221, 1723, 2853, and 2988. The polynucleotide isolated from an expression library cloned into pBLUESCRIPT SK+ expression vector, an *E. coli* cloning vector. The document describes introducing the nucleic acid into expression vectors for expression in a variety of eukaryotic and prokaryotic host cells for producing recombinant protein. See entire reference, especially pages 22-31, 42-45, and SEQ ID NOs: 1 and 2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott D. Priebe, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0733. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER